

S12/1020/LB

Application Category

The application is categorised as an 'MINOR' type of application

Reason for Referral to Committee

An appeal against non-determination has been lodged as the application had not been decided within the eight week timescale; the application now falls to be decided by the Planning Inspectorate. The application has been referred to the Committee to provide the Inspectorate with an indication as to how the Council would have decided the proposal had it been able to determine the application.

The Proposal

The proposal is to extend an existing barn and convert it into a separate dwelling with three bedrooms. The application involves the demolition of an existing garage, and involves the creation of a new access off the Main Street.

The application site and its surroundings

The application proposes the extension and conversion of a barn within the curtilage of Corner House (Grade II listed) and the site lies within the centre of the Allington Conservation Area.

The application building is located directly to the west of Corner House which is located at the junction of The Green and Main Street. The Welby Arms Public House lies to the north of the application site and the Old Manor House (Grade II*) some 115 metres to the south west. Ilex Cottage and Berberene lie to the east of the application site; these two dwellings are good examples of vernacular cottages with gables onto the road and linear floor plans.

Site History

The planning history of the site indicates that a application to build a bungalow and alter the vehicular access (SK.1/1047/75/1859) was refused on 30.12.1975. This refusal predated the confirmation of the Allington Conservation Area on 1.12.1990. The grounds for refusal were that the site was inappropriate for the development proposed by reason of its size and shape and highway safety reasons.

Policy Considerations

National Planning Policy Framework

Section 7: Requiring Good Design.

Section 12: Conserving and enhancing the historic environment.

East Midlands Regional Policy

Policy 27: Regional priorities for the historic environment.

South Kesteven Core Strategy 2010

Policy SP1 - Spatial Strategy.

Policy EN1 - Protection and Enhancement.

Other Relevant Documents

None Relevant.

Representations Received

Conservation

The Principal Conservation Officer considers that the barn extension as proposed is not subservient to the barn by virtue of its excessive size and design and therefore does not respect the form and character of the barn. The proposal also involves the creation of an opening in the wall along Main Street. This is considered to introduce an unwelcome break in the attractive stone wall on this frontage, to the detriment of the street scene in this part of the Conservation Area.

Policy

The Planning Policy Officer has stated that development outside Local Service Centres would only be permitted where it makes a contribution to the character and appearance of the local area, the buildings subject of conversion are sound structurally and the works do not detract from the character of the building or its setting. As the site part of the original curtilage of an adjoining Grade II listed building and within the Allington Conservation Area, the comments of the Conservation Officer are welcomed as being important in assessing the impact of the proposal.

Archaeology

The District Archaeologist has stated that the application does not affect any known archaeological site and therefore no archaeological intervention is required.

Highways

The Highway Authority has recommended conditions.

Parish Council

The Parish Council refer to a similar application which was refused. It points out that the wall will be partially removed to the detriment of the conservation area. Visibility from the proposed access is questioned.

Representations as a result of publicity

The application has been advertised in accordance with the adopted Statement of Community Involvement. Representations have been received from a previous owner of the property. The objections are summarised as follows:

- The modern design proposed detracts from the setting of the Corner House and does not enhance it or the Conservation Area.
- The Heritage Statement does not refer to the importance of Main Street and the associated stone retaining wall and historic remnants of Saxon settlements.
- The setting of Corner House would be spoiled further with the resultant small garden, devoted mainly to parking and access.

Officer Evaluation

KEY ISSUES

Government planning policy through the NPPF, read in conjunction with local policy SP1 and EN1 supports the duty of authorities to ensure that development proposals either preserve or enhance the character or appearance of conservation areas and that special regard must be taken of the setting of listed buildings.

The proposal as submitted is to extend the existing barn to create a separate plot, demolish an attached garage and create a new access.

The extension is of modern design and of excessive size which relates poorly to the existing barn, the listed building and the conservation area. The provision of the new separate access will result in fragmentation of an attractive stone wall. Subdivision into two plots will result in a small garden for

Corner house, which will be out of keeping with similarly sized dwellings of comparable importance in the locality.

Taken together, or individually, these impacts are such that it could not be argued that the proposed development would preserve or enhance the setting of Corner House or character and appearance of the Conservation Area. The application would therefore be contrary to national and local policy and it is recommended that Committee indicate to the Planning Inspectorate that members would have been minded to refuse the application for the reasons outlined had the decision stayed within its remit.

Other Matters

There were no other relevant matters at the time of writing.

Crime and Disorder Implications

The site appears to raise no concerns relating to crime and disorder.

Human Rights Implications

It should be noted that Article 6 (Right to fair decision making) and Article 8 (Right to private family life and home) will be taken into account in determining this application

It is considered that no other relevant Article will be breached.

CONCLUSION

The Planning Inspectorate be informed that the Council would have been minded to refuse the application for the following reason:

The proposed development by virtue of its size and design would be detrimental to the listed building, and the neighbouring property (Corner House) and the character and appearance of the conservation area. The proposal would therefore be contrary to the core principles contained in Sections 7 (Requiring Good Design) and Section 12 (Conserving and Enhancing the Historic Environment) of the National Planning Framework (March 2012) in that it does not constitute high quality design and does not conserve or enhance the historic environment and the conservation area. The proposal would therefore be contrary to Policy EN1 of the Core Strategy (Adopted July 2010) as it would not reinforce local distinctiveness and sense of place nor would it contribute to the conservation, enhancement or restoration of the character of the District.

